



Risk Assessment Number	SPEN_001
Dataset	Distribution Future Energy Scenarios
No. Data Tables	10
Date	October 2024
Refresh Date	October 2025
Approver	Kirsty Scott

1. Principles

SPEN classify their data into three categories, based on the risk assessment outcome:

- *Open*: data is published for all to use, modify, and distribute with no restrictions.
- *Shared*: data is published to a limited group of participants with restrictions on usage.
- *Closed*: due to sensitivities within the data, it is not suitable for publication, however, may be shared with specific stakeholders under a bespoke data sharing agreement where appropriate.

The risk assessment determines the classification and whether it can be published.

The risk assessment considers 6 categories:

1. *Personal privacy*
2. *Security*
3. *Public interest*
4. *Commercial*
5. *Legislation/Regulation preventions*
6. *Other*

Risk scoring is based on a combination of the likelihood of the risk occurring and the impact of it – with an outcome between 0 and 10.

- Risk score of 4 or below: no mitigations applied.
- Risk score of 5-7: mitigations required to be applied before publication.
- Risk score of 8 or above: due to sensitivities within the data, dataset may be categorised as ‘Closed’ and not suitable for publication.
- If the **total** risk score after mitigation is above an 8 then the dataset is classified as ‘Closed’ and not suitable for publication.

The mitigations that can be applied are as below:

1. *Aggregation*: combining/summarising in order to reduce granularity whilst still maintaining some value.
2. *Anonymisation*: removal/partial removal of identifying features, e.g. location info, name, address, postcode.
3. *Delay*: deferring release of data for a defined period until a time where the risk is greatly diminished or no longer exists, e.g. outage data could be used to target the network when some sections are placed under greater load, therefore a delay in publication could be implemented to mitigate the risk of the data being used to attack the network.
4. *Pseudonymisation*: replacing identifying features with a different unique identifier, e.g. replacing name and address with an ID that is held internally.
5. *Redaction*: removal or overwriting of features.
6. *Restrict use and access*: e.g. subject to shared data licence conditions, user registration and approval.
7. *Other*: any other mitigating action that could be applied, details of the action are provided in the risk assessment.

Name of Dataset:	Distribution Future Energy Scenarios (DFES)											
Date of Assessment:	30/10/2024											
Dataset Owner:												
Assessment completed												
Dataset Description:	<p>Forecasts of Low Carbon Technologies, annual peak demand (with and without flexibility), and generation technologies across various scenarios out to 2050. Areas supplied by primary substations and GSPs included as GIS polygons.</p> <p>Datasets:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>SPM DFES Totals by Substation</td> <td>SPD DFES Totals by Substation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPM DFES LCT Totals by Local Authority</td> <td>SPD DFES LCT Totals by Local Authority</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPM DFES Breakdown by Licence</td> <td>SPD DFES Breakdown by Licence</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPM DFES Substation Polygons</td> <td>SPD DFES Substation Polygons</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPM DFES Local Authorities Polygons</td> <td>SPD DFES Local Authorities Polygons</td> </tr> </table>		SPM DFES Totals by Substation	SPD DFES Totals by Substation	SPM DFES LCT Totals by Local Authority	SPD DFES LCT Totals by Local Authority	SPM DFES Breakdown by Licence	SPD DFES Breakdown by Licence	SPM DFES Substation Polygons	SPD DFES Substation Polygons	SPM DFES Local Authorities Polygons	SPD DFES Local Authorities Polygons
SPM DFES Totals by Substation	SPD DFES Totals by Substation											
SPM DFES LCT Totals by Local Authority	SPD DFES LCT Totals by Local Authority											
SPM DFES Breakdown by Licence	SPD DFES Breakdown by Licence											
SPM DFES Substation Polygons	SPD DFES Substation Polygons											
SPM DFES Local Authorities Polygons	SPD DFES Local Authorities Polygons											
<i>When assessing below, for all sections, consideration must also be given to other datasets that may be openly available elsewhere (within or out with the organisation) that when combined with this dataset could create sensitivity issues. Do not consider in isolation.</i>												
Risk Assessment: If issues exist, mitigating actions must be listed within the Risk Scoring and Mitigation Table - see overleaf	PERSONAL PRIVACY: Is personal data contained in the dataset pre-mitigation? Considerations: 'Personal Data' means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person; an identifiable natural person is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly by combining with other information, in particular by reference to an identifier such as a name, an identification number, location data, an online identifier or to one or more factors specific to the physical, physiological, genetic, mental, economic, cultural or social identity of that natural person. Public information can still be personal information, e.g. a satellite image of a house may be personal information that relates to an individual.	YES										
	SECURITY: Does the dataset, pre-mitigation, include factors that would change the security posture of individuals, entities or impact national security? Considerations: If the dataset contains personal data, would publication of that data go against the rights and freedoms of the individual. If the dataset contains confidential business sensitive information (such as financial information or physical asset information), would publication of that data go against the obligation to implement appropriate technical and organisational measures to protect that information. If the dataset contains details of physical locations or structures, would the publication of that data go against the requirements to protect staff, the public or company infrastructure.	NO										
	PUBLIC INTEREST: Does the dataset, pre-mitigation, have the potential to negatively impact public interest? Considerations: Could the dataset be reasonably interpreted, intentionally or unintentionally, in a way that would be detrimental to the public good or what is in the best interest of society. Does the data allow for good decision making by its users that allows for an efficient allocation of resources to meet overall stakeholder aims. Could the dataset be used in a way to restrict fair commercial competition. Does the dataset have appropriate transparency and accountability assigned to provide users comfort over the quality of data and its intent.	YES										
	COMMERCIAL INTEREST: Does the dataset, pre-mitigation, contain information that through its disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice or harm the commercial interests of SPEN, those of an individual or customer, a company or another legal entity? Considerations: Are there intellectual property restrictions whereby the data has been obtained by SPEN but with terms and conditions imposed which would restrict onward publishing.	YES										
	LEGAL / REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS: Does the dataset, pre-mitigation, breach any law or regulations to which SPEN is subject? Considerations: Are there specific legislation or regulation that prohibits publications in whole or in part? These laws include, but are not limited to: Utilities Act 2000; Electricity Act 1989; Gas Act 1986 / 1995; Competition Act 1998; Enterprise Act 2002; Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013; Data Protection Act 2018; General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Network and Information Systems Regulations 2018	NO										
	OTHER: Other personal privacy, security, public interest, end consumer, legislation/regulation risk, health and safety implication risk? For example risk of health and safety being compromised? Is data quality substantially poor and substantially inadequate at meeting user's needs?	YES										

Ref	Sensitivity Area	Risk Details:	Risk Impact before Mitigation	Risk Likelihood before Mitigation	Risk Score	Mitigating Actions	Risk Impact after Mitigation	Risk Likelihood after Mitigation	Risk Score	Action Taken / Comments
1	Personal Privacy	No dynamic data. Data only provided at primary substation level with no personal information.	Minor	Unlikely	3	Redaction	Minor	Remote	2	Data triaged to ensure no single customer sites are published.
2	Security		N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	
3	Public Interest	Could unintentionally provide incorrect signals to the market e.g. over-representation of certain technology types, e.g. batteries due to very high recent application rates.	Moderate	Possible	5	Other	Moderate	Unlikely	4	Annual update of DFES data in line with ESO FES and stakeholder engagement.
4	Commercial	The data could be misconstrued, misinterpreted or represented in such a way as to cause reputational damage or harm to SPEN. Stakeholders are likely to use the data to gain an understanding of the future energy landscape. Any misunderstanding or misinterpretation of the dataset in the development of stakeholder plans could lead to a high level of stakeholder inconvenience.	Minor	Possible	4	N/A	Minor	Possible	4	
5	Legislation/Regulation Preventions	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	Required under: • SOO 1.10 • SOO 3.1.4 • Operational Data Sharing
6	Other	Data will be updated every year and therefore potentially out of date when accessed.	Minor	Possible	4	N/A	Minor	Possible	4	

Overall Risk Score (without mitigation)	5.23
---	------

Overall Risk Score (with mitigation)	4.69
--------------------------------------	------

LIKELIHOOD RATINGS:

	Likelihood
	N/A
	Remote. Would only happen in exceptional circumstances e.g. there are no historical instances
	Unlikely. There may have been potential cases/near misses in the past.
	Possible. Known to have happened before on rare occasions or has partially occurred.
	Expected. Has happened before and strong possibility it will likely occur again.
	Certain. Expected to occur frequently.

IMPACT RATINGS:

	Impact	E.g. if in P&L and/or cash terms	Examples if in stakeholder terms. Reputation and relationships with employees; customers; shareholders, press, government, and/or regulators
	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Minor. Would have insignificant impact.	< £1m	Short term loss of employee morale, local adverse publicity/media report.
	Moderate. Would have moderate impact which can be effectively managed.	£1m-£10m	Minor employee disengagement, prolonged local adverse publicity/media reporting, localised stakeholder concern, temporary drop in share price, minor reduction in customer base.
	Significant. May require intervention but further impact on any other critical assets/processes unlikely.	£10m-£25m	Isolated employee disengagement, business unit(s), national media interest creating stakeholder concern, negative national stakeholder statements, prolonged decrease in share price, moderate reduction in customer base.
	Major impact on key processes/critical assets affected requiring immediate action to prevent long term damage to the organisation.	£25m-£50m	Employee disengagement across several business units extensive prolonged adverse reactions from media and/or key stakeholders, significant decrease in share price, and a significant reduction in customer base.
	Catastrophic impact upon the business and/or wider industry and/or stakeholder. Reputational damage/ regulatory non-compliance.	> £50m	Companywide employee disengagement, downgrade in credit rating, extensive widespread negative reporting or public disputes with key stakeholders, loss of investor confidence, extensive reduction in customer base, escalation inevitable and impossible to contain.

RISK SCORING:

		IMPACT					
		Not Applicable	Minor	Moderate	Significant	Major	Catastrophic
LIKELIHOOD	Not Applicable	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Remote	0	2	3	4	5	6
	Unlikely	0	3	4	5	6	7
	Possible	0	4	5	6	7	8
	Expected	0	5	6	7	8	9
	Certain	0	6	7	8	9	10